

# Exploring salient dimensions in a free sorting task: a cross-country study on elderly populations

<sup>\*1</sup>Cliceri Danny, <sup>1</sup>Dinnella Caterina, <sup>2</sup>Depezay Laurence, <sup>2</sup>Morizet David, <sup>3</sup>Giboreau Agnès, <sup>4</sup>Appleton Katherine M., <sup>5</sup>Hartwell Heather and <sup>2</sup>Monteleone Erminio

<sup>1</sup>Department of Management of Agricultural, Food and Forestry Systems, University of Florence, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Sensory & consumer science, Bonduelle corporate research, France

<sup>3</sup>Institute Paul Bocuse Research Centre, France

<sup>4</sup>Research Centre for Behaviour Change, Department of Psychology, Bournemouth University, UK

<sup>5</sup>The Foodservice and Applied Nutrition Research Group, Bournemouth University, UK

\*Corresponding author: [danny.cliceri@unifi.it](mailto:danny.cliceri@unifi.it)

## Abstract

Free sorting tasks have been widely applied on different age segments to study the categorization of foods. However the method has received little attention in the investigation of older adults' perception of foods. Given the importance of understanding elderly perceptions in order to develop acceptable products, the aim of this study was to investigate the suitability of a free sorting task on different age groups of healthy elderly consumers. The role of sensory and hedonic dimensions, beside the role of familiarity, was considered to better understand the process of food categorization. A free sorting and a liking task were applied on French and Italian elderly to study perception and preference of familiar (peas) and unfamiliar (sweetcorn) vegetables. Similarities between the categorization maps, the preference maps and the sensory maps from vegetable samples were assessed through the RV coefficient and map visual inspection.

The free sorting task was found to be a suitable method to use with healthy older adults, that allowed the detection of differences in the categorization of stimuli even among the more aged representatives of the elderly population. Familiarity with the product was the main factor affecting the categorization maps. Categorization maps from the familiar vegetable were found to be reliable to obtain information on sensory and hedonic dimensions, while maps obtained from the unfamiliar vegetable mainly depicted sensory variability.

**Key words:** free sorting task, older adults, familiarity, descriptive analysis, liking, vegetables

## 1. Introduction

Population aging represents one the most important global demographic trends of this century, considering that in 2050 one person in three will be elderly (United Nations, 2015). Besides population ageing, a further aspect that has to be considered is healthy life expectancy, namely the measure of years that a person is expected to live without disability. Investigations that involve indices of the healthy life expectancy, such as DALYs (GBD 2013 DALYs and HALE Collaborators, 2015) or HLY (Robine & Camboise, 2013), typically show that this value stays constant despite an increase in the general life expectancy. This means, not only that people will live more years, but also that they will live more years

in a condition of activity limitation. In order to maintain high levels of health during the lifespan and avoid an excessive burden on health and care services, it is therefore vital to adopt strategies to increase healthy life expectancy. From the individual point of view, one way to promote a healthy life is undoubtedly to have a balanced diet that satisfies the nutritional requirements of the age segment. Aging is associated with an augmented risk of malnutrition (Hickson, 2006), which can lead to sarcopenia (Cruz-Jentoft *et al.*, 2010) and subsequent frailty and dependency (Roubenoff, 2000). To prevent this negative spiral of inadequate food intake, malnutrition and the onset of disease, in the last years scholars have called for solutions to prevent malnutrition in older adults through the development of foods and modalities of consumption that consider the needs and preferences of the elderly population (Giacalone *et al.*, 2016; Nyberg *et al.*, 2015; Appleton *et al.* 2016).

The study of elderly consumers requires investigative tools that allow evaluation of the perceptions and preferences of this segment of the population in an effective and reliable way, while the majority of the methods used to study consumers' responses were developed with adults, without taking into account the physical and cognitive difficulties that may be present in elderly subjects. In healthy older adults most sensory and consumer methods can be applied (Methven *et al.*, 2016). However the use of consumer tests with this segment of population should be evaluated carefully, due to the possible presence of difficulties related to the comprehension and use of rating scales (Dermiki *et al.*, 2013), difficulties in the use of introspection processes, and a general tendency to have cognitive and perceptive fatigue with long and complex methodologies (Methven *et al.*, 2016). Discriminant methods, such as ranking or paired tests, are typically the simplest methods to use with older adults (Barylko-Pikieln *et al.*, 2004; Dermiki *et al.*, 2014), although potential limitations include the lack of a direct indication of acceptability and the need for sufficient time when a high number of samples have to be assessed. A methodology with big potential, yet to be fully explored with older adults is the free sorting task (FST).

The free sorting task is a method based on categorization, a natural cognitive process where objects with common characteristics are grouped and inference is made about their properties, in order to obtain considerable information with minimum cognitive effort (Rosch & Lloyd, 1978). The method has been shown to be easily applicable with consumers considering that little training is required, quantitative rating systems are not requested, and in general the method is based on a simple and spontaneous cognitive process. In FST, subjects are provided with a varied number of samples and asked to evaluate and group them on the basis of their subjective criteria. Research involving FST on food products has highlighted the importance of the sensory dimension as a categorization criteria, and demonstrated that the maps from FST are often found to be highly correlated with the sensory maps obtained with descriptive analysis (DA) (Cartier *et al.*, 2006). A further dimension relevant in food product categorization is the hedonic one (Ballester *et al.*, 2008; Chollet & Valentin, 2000), even if only a limited effect on the structuring of similarity space is reported. Moreover different studies have highlighted a role for familiarity in foods categorization, where subjects with previous experience with the tested products tend to use higher-level types of categorization such as those based on the extrinsic properties of food (Solomon, 1997; Ballester *et al.*, 2008). The role of familiarity in the categorization of food products has emerged also in cross-cultural studies, where cultures with different levels of familiarity with the tested products provide different spatial representations of them (Chrea *et al.*, 2004; Blacher *et al.*, 2007). In the domain of consumer research, FST has been used with children (Morizet *et al.*, 2012; Varela & Salvador, 2014), adolescents (Bucher *et al.*, 2016) and adult respondents (Lawless *et al.*, 1995; Lelièvre *et al.*, 2009; Santosa *et al.*, 2010; Nestrud & Lawless, 2010; Deegan *et al.*, 2010). The only study, of

which we are aware, that has investigated the use of FST with food samples in older adults was carried out by Withers and colleagues (Withers *et al.*, 2014). In this research, a variation of the basic sorting task, called Taxonomic free sorting, was coupled with hedonic liking ratings to produce an external preference map from consumer data. The study demonstrated the applicability of sorting methodologies with healthy older adults in general. However, the authors did not explore the categorization performance of different age segments of the elderly population, while the elderly population, despite often being considered as a single group, contains subjects that may differ considerably in perceptual abilities (Song *et al.*, 2016) and in their familiarity with and liking for different food products (Mingioni *et al.*, 2016). Hence, the variability within older adults may affect the main dimensions driving the categorization of food products.

In order to evaluate the performance of FST methodology within the elderly population, the main objective of this study was therefore to evaluate the suitability of FST in different age groups of healthy older adults. A further objective was to investigate the factors that were able to affect the categorization of samples in each considered segment. The influence of the sensory dimension on the process of categorization was assessed by comparing the categorization map obtained from FST against the sensory map from DA, while the influence of the hedonic dimension was assessed by comparing the categorization map against the preference map obtained from a liking task with the same subjects. Moreover, the study was carried out on a familiar and a unfamiliar product and in two different food cultures, that is the French and Italian one, to investigate the role of the experience of consumption on the creation of mental categories. Considering the importance of promoting the intake of healthy foods, the present study was conducted using vegetable products. In order to explore an approach where healthy food consumption is increased through the optimization of healthy foods already present in the diet of older adults (Appleton, 2016), the study was carried out using specific typologies of vegetables, which were pea, representative of the familiar product, and sweetcorn, representative of the unfamiliar product.

## 2. Material and Methods

### 2.1 *Products and Samples*

Pea and sweetcorn were selected as vegetable typologies because of their differential adoption in European food culture, where sweetcorn was introduced only in the second part of the 20<sup>th</sup> century while pea has been present for several centuries (Pelt, 1993). Canned versions of peas and sweetcorn were chosen because of their large availability in the markets of the countries involved in the study and because they represent a convenient way to promote vegetable intake (Kapica & Weiss, 2012). Ten canned pea (codes: A,B,D,E,F,J,L,O,P,Q) and eight canned sweetcorn (codes: H,R,S,T,U,V,W,Z) samples were considered for the study. The amount of each sample needed for the whole study was purchased from the producer company and from the same production batch, then delivered to the Institutions participating in the study. The samples were selected in order to cover as much as possible of the sensory spaces of peas and sweetcorn (i.e. diversity of size, texture, colour, flavour) and DA (Lawless & Heymann, 2010) was carried out in order to confirm and quantify the sensory variability of samples.

#### 2.1.1 Sensory characterization of pea and sweetcorn samples by Descriptive Analysis

The evaluation of the samples was carried out with two panels trained at the Sensory Lab of Florence University, as already described in Dinnella *et al.* (2016). Twelve participants, 3 males and 9 females,

mean age 29.8 years, were selected for the DA of the pea samples. Eleven participants, 4 males and 7 females, mean age 30.1 years, were selected for the DA of the sweetcorn samples. After sample familiarization and sensory descriptor elicitation, the calibration and performance evaluation of each panel was assessed in three sessions where four samples were presented. Data were analyzed using Panel Check software (ver 1.4.0, Nofima, Tromsø, Norway). Panel calibration was assessed using the multi-block PCA (Tucker-1), while assessor performance was assessed using the p\*MSE plot. (Næs *et al.*, 2010). Having completed the training, and after performance validation, panels participated in three evaluation sessions. In each session, ten samples of peas or eight samples of sweetcorn were evaluated in two sub-sets. Samples (25 gr) were presented in a 100cc plastic cup identified by a 3-digit code. Samples presentation was balanced across participants. Pea samples were evaluated at 54-56°C, while sweetcorn samples were evaluated at room temperature. Evaluations were performed in individual booths under white light for appearance description and under red light for the rest of the attributes. Data were collected with the software Fizz (ver.2.47.B, Biosystemes, Couternon, France). Sample differences for each attribute were assessed by a three way ANOVA mixed model using assessor and replicate as random factors, while sample was the fixed factor. Differences and similarities in sensory properties among samples were evaluated on a score plot and a correlation loading plot obtained from a Principal Component Analysis (PCA). PCA models were computed on panel averages of each significant sensory attribute ( $p < 0.05$ ) arising from the ANOVA models. Data were analysed with the software Fizz (ver.2.47.B, Biosystemes, Couternon, France). The ANOVA model computed on DA data for the pea samples showed a significant sample effect for 23 of the 26 attributes. The first two components of the score plot for the pea samples obtained from PCA accounted for 86% of explained variance (Figure 1a). Results from the ANOVA model computed on DA data for the sweetcorn samples showed a significant sample effect for 15 of the 19 attributes. The first two components of the score plot for sweetcorn obtained from PCA accounted for 82% of explained variance (Figure 2a).

## 2.2 Samples evaluation by consumers

### 2.2.1 Participants

Elderly people were recruited at elderly care institutions and leisure facilities for the elderly in Florence (Italy, IT) and Lille (France, FR). Subjects were recruited to cover the different age groups of the elderly population (Forman *et al.*, 1992), with a group aged from 65 to 69 years (Young old), a group aged from 70 to 79 years (Middle old) and a group aged over 80 years (Very old). Demographic details of the participants as a function of country and age segment are reported in Table 1. All elderly participants had no medical conditions and were able to independently perform the test. Participants aged from 18 to 64 years (Adults) were also recruited in the Florence area as control groups, respectively for the evaluation of the pea samples (34 females, 21 males, mean age 28.0 years) and sweetcorn samples (38 females, 21 males, mean age 36.3 years). Appropriate health and safety considerations, together with a risk assessment protocol, were carried out prior to the commencement of the research. Individual written informed consent was obtained from participants.

### 2.2.2 Experimental procedure

Pea and sweetcorn samples were evaluated in two independent sessions. The experiment took place in public spaces such as canteens or common rooms. Tests were conducted individually and social

interaction was not allowed. The experimental procedure consisted of three steps: 1. Liking test, 2. Collection of Questionnaire data, 3. Sorting task.

*Liking test:* Participants were provided with individual trays with 11 or 9 three-digit coded pea or sweetcorn samples (10 pea samples plus a replicate; eight sweetcorn samples plus a replicate). Twenty-five grams of product were used for each sample. Peas were presented at 54-56 °C in a foam cup sealed with a plastic top. Sweetcorn samples were presented in a plastic cup at room temperature. Presentation order was randomized across participants. Participants were asked to look at the appearance, and to smell and taste a teaspoon of each sample, then they were asked to rate their liking on a 9-point category scale (1: dislike extremely- 9: extremely like). Participants were asked to rinse their mouth with water before starting the evaluation and after each sample.

*Questionnaire:* After completing the liking task, participants filled in a questionnaire consisting of two sections: 1. Demographic characteristics (age, gender); 2. Familiarity with pea and sweetcorn products on a 5 point category scale (1: "I do not recognize the product", 2: "I recognize the product, but I have not tasted it", 3: "I have tasted, but I do not use the product", 4: "I occasionally eat the product" and 5: "I regularly eat the product") (Bäckström *et al.*, 2004). In this scale, scores increase from lexical/visual knowledge (scores 1 and 2), to a taste experience not associated with consumption (score 3) and to frequency of consumption (scores 4 and 5).

*Sorting task:* In the last part of the session, subjects were provided with a new tray with 11 or 9 three-digit coded pea or sweetcorn samples (ten pea samples plus a replicate; eight sweetcorn samples plus a replicate). Subjects were asked to observe, smell and taste the samples and then to group them according to their similarities, using their own criteria. Subjects were allowed to taste each sample more than once and were asked to note their groupings, and the characteristics of each group, individually. Subjects were asked to rinse their mouth with water before starting evaluation and after each sample.

## 2.3 *Data analysis*

### 2.3.1 Liking data

Liking data obtained from each product were submitted to a PCA in order to obtain a preference map for each country and each age segment of participants. The reliability of the obtained maps was assessed considering the closeness of the blind duplicate samples (Lawless & Heymann, 2010), measured considering the reciprocal of the percentage ratio of distance (Dr%), computed as the ratio between the distance of the two replicated samples and the distance of the two most distant samples on the map (Torri *et al.*, 2013).

### 2.3.2 Questionnaire

Individual data on vegetable familiarity were transformed: responses 1, 2 and 3 were included in the category 'Un-familiar' (UFs), while responses 4 and 5 were included in the category 'Familiar' (Fs). Significant differences in number of Fs and UFs between countries and vegetable typology were assessed using Fisher's exact test within each age segment and in total.

### 2.3.3 Sorting data

For each subject a distance matrix was generated, where a value of 0 between a row and a column indicates that the assessor put the samples together, whereas a value of 1 indicates that samples were not put together. Individual distance matrices were submitted to DISTATIS (Abdi *et al.*, 2007), a generalization of classical multidimensional scaling that considers individual sorting data. DISTATIS was computed for each country and each age segment, in order to obtain a spatial representation of product

similarity in which products are represented by points on a map. The points are arranged in this representation so that the distances between pairs of points reflect the similarities among the pairs of stimuli. The adoption of DISTATIS also allowed consideration of the individual variability in the process of categorization, in this way providing a spatial representation less influenced by assessors that behave differently from others. The reliability of the obtained maps was assessed considering the reciprocal of the Dr%. The hierarchical cluster analysis with Ward's criterion was performed on samples coordinated on the first two components to identify groups of samples in each configuration (Lelièvre *et al.*, 2009).

#### 2.3.4 Maps comparison

The similarity of the first two dimensions of the maps was assessed considering the RV coefficient (Robert & Escoufier, 1976). The RV coefficient is a measure of the similarity between two factorial configurations, which takes the value of 0 if the configurations are uncorrelated, and the value of 1 if the configurations are homothetic. The minimum RV value that has been considered as an indicator of good agreement between sample configurations ranges from 0.65 to 0.85 (Vidal *et al.*, 2014), therefore a cut-off of 0.75 was considered for this study. With respect to each vegetable, the RV coefficient and its statistical significance was computed for all combinations between the compromise maps from DISTATIS on FST data (categorization maps), the score plots from PCA on DA data (sensory maps) and the score plots from PCA on liking data (preference maps), within each country and age segment. Considering that RV coefficients put particular emphasis on the component with the largest variance, the similarity between maps was assessed also considering a visual evaluation of the configurations as suggested in Tomic *et al.* (2015).

All analyses on consumer data were conducted with the R Statistics Package version 3.2.1 (R Core Team, 2015) using the FactoMineR package (Le *et al.*, 2008) and the DistatisR package (Beaton *et al.*, 2013).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Familiarity for pea and sweetcorn products across countries and age groups

In order to evaluate the familiarity for pea and sweetcorn products, differences in the distribution of Fs and UFs subjects between vegetable typology were investigated in each country and age group independently (Table 2). The pea typology was in general highly familiar, while the sweetcorn was less familiar irrespective to country and age group. The only exception is in the Very old French subjects, where the lower number of subjects involved in the evaluation of pea products doesn't allow observation of the tendency that emerged in the other age groups. Also in the Adult control group, familiarity with peas was significantly higher than for sweetcorn ( $UF_{\text{peas}}: 3$ ;  $FS_{\text{peas}}: 52$ ;  $UF_{\text{sweetcorn}}: 22$ ;  $FS_{\text{sweetcorn}}: 37$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ). In order to evaluate if the two countries share the same familiarity with peas and sweetcorn, differences in the distribution of Fs and UFs subjects between countries were investigated for each vegetable typology and age group independently (Table 3). No significant differences between Italy and France were found for peas, but a lower number of Fs were found in Italy compared to France for sweetcorn. Considering the distributions in the different age groups, number of Fs in Italy for sweetcorn were significantly lower than in France only in the case of Very old subjects. Considering the subjects inside each age group and irrespective to the country of origin, for peas the percentage of Fs was constant from Adults to Very old subjects (Adults: 94.5%; Young old: 98.3%; Middle old: 95.7%; Very old: 92.8%). In the case of sweetcorn, a similar trend was found, excepting the Very old subjects (Adults: 62.7%; Young old: 70.7%; Middle old: 62.1%; Very old: 41.6%).

258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300

3.2 Similarity among categorization, preference and sensory maps

3.2.1 Comparison across countries

The categorization maps obtained from the two countries are shown in Figure 3. In the case of peas, the maps from Italian and French respondents were very similar in terms of relative categorization of the samples. Furthermore, in both maps the replicated samples fall in the same group as expected. Spatial configurations of sweetcorn samples were different in the two countries and the sample groups were formed from different samples. Nevertheless, in both configurations the replicate samples fall in the same group thus still indicating the reliability of the configurations. The similarity between categorization maps from Italian and French respondents expressed as RV coefficients is reported in Table 4 independently for each product. For peas, the correlation of FST configurations between countries is high ( $RV=0.95$ ,  $p<0.001$ ). Conversely, for sweetcorn the correlation of FST configurations between countries is low ( $RV=0.54$ ,  $p<0.05$ ), highlighting the different criteria used to perform the categorization of samples in the two countries.

The comparison of preference maps from pea samples between countries (Figure 4) resulted in a RV coefficient of 0.89 ( $p<0.001$ ), showing a general agreement on the value of hedonic properties when discriminating between samples. In the case of sweetcorn the comparison between preference maps resulted in a low level of similarity ( $RV=0.61$ ,  $p<0.01$ ), suggesting that different sensory properties drive the liking for sweetcorn among Italian and French population.

In order to evaluate the weight of sensory and hedonic dimensions on the process of categorization, the categorization map of each country was compared with the relevant sensory and preference maps (Table 4). For the pea samples, the categorization maps from both countries were highly correlated with the sensory maps and also with the corresponding preference map. For sweetcorn, the spatial configuration from FST was poorly correlated with the sensory map, reaching a maximum of the critical RV value of 0.75 ( $p<0.01$ ) in the French group. This suggests that subjects gave a different weight to the sensory attributes that determinate the dimensions of the categorization map, particularly in the case of the Italians ( $RV=0.57$ ,  $p<0.05$ ). Also the correlation between categorization maps and preference maps revealed a poor correlation between the two configurations in both countries.

3.2.2 Comparison across age segments

In order to study the effect of ageing on the drivers of categorization and sorting performance, sorting data and liking data for both countries were merged by age group and data analysis was carried out independently for each age segment. A characterization of each age segment is reported in Table 1. Categorization and preference maps from the control group of Adults were used as reference. The categorization maps and the preference maps obtained from the four age groups are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively.

For the pea samples, the FST groups were formed by the same samples in each age group, with the exception of sample B in the Very old segment. Sweetcorn groups were formed by different samples in each age segment. Replicated samples always fell in the same group both for pea and sweetcorn samples irrespective to age, confirming the reliability of the configurations.

The level of similarity between categorization, preference and sensory maps as a function of aging is reported in Figure 7a for peas and in Figure 7b for sweetcorn. The following comparisons were considered: 1. The categorization map from the reference group of Adults versus each categorization map from the three elderly age groups; 2. Categorization maps from Adults and the three elderly age

groups versus the sensory map; 3. Categorization maps from Adults and from the three elderly age groups versus the relative preference maps.

Considering the pea samples, the correlation between the categorization maps from the Adults and each elderly group is high in the Young old ( $RV=0.97$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) and Middle old segment ( $RV=0.97$ ,  $p<0.001$ ), suggesting a strong similarity in the categorization of pea samples. A slight decrease in similarity can be found in the Very old segment ( $RV=0.82$ ,  $p<0.001$ ), but the categorization of samples remains comparable. In the case of the sweetcorn samples, the maps follow a completely different pattern. The correlation between the categorization maps from the Adults and each elderly group decreases to Young old ( $RV=0.68$ ,  $p<0.01$ ), Middle old ( $RV=0.53$ ,  $p<0.05$ ) and Very old ( $RV=0.29$ ,  $p>0.05$ ) segments. This evidence suggests that for this typology of product, the criteria used in categorizing the samples varies during the ageing process, with an overall effect on sorting configuration.

Taking into consideration the similarity between the categorization maps and the sensory map, in the case of peas it is possible to see that the sensory dimension is highly important in each age segment (minimum RV value: Very Old segment ( $RV=0.81$ ,  $p<0.001$ )). Conversely, in the case of sweetcorn the similarity between the categorization maps and the sensory map decreases from Adults to the Very old, the latter with the minimum level in similarity ( $RV=0.39$ ,  $p>0.05$ ).

Concerning the similarities between the categorization maps and the preference maps, in the case of peas, the results show little differences in the value of the hedonic dimension in the presented samples from Adults to the Very old segment. Moreover, the contribution of the hedonic dimension to the categorization process remains lower than the sensory dimension in each age segment, with a maximum RV value reached in the Middle old segment ( $RV=0.77$ ,  $p<0.001$ ). A similar tendency was found for sweetcorn, with a minimum similarity reached in the Very old segment ( $RV=0.47$ ,  $p>0.05$ ).

### 3.2.3 Maps reliability within each age segment

The performance of FST during ageing was further explored by considering the reliability of the maps generated from each age segment, using the ratio of distances between the two replicated samples. The Dr% of categorization maps and preference maps are reported in Figures 8a and 8b, respectively for each age class and vegetable category. In this plot, the closer the two replicated samples are on the map the higher the Dr% value and thus the map reliability. For the pea samples, both the categorization and preference maps showed a high level of reliability in each age segment. For the sweetcorn a high level of reliability was found in each age group only for the categorization maps, while for the preference maps the reliability decreases with age. In particular for the pea samples the lowest Dr% of the categorization maps was reached in the Middle old subjects ( $Dr\%=86.0\%$ ), while in the preference maps, the minimum Dr% was reached in Very old subjects ( $Dr\%=80.6\%$ ). Considering the sweetcorn samples, the FST produced highly reliable maps in each age segment, with a minimum Dr% reached in the Adult group ( $Dr\%=79.5\%$ ). A different performance was obtained for the liking task, where the reliability of the preference maps decreased from the Adults to the Very old subjects, with a minimum Dr% in the Very old group ( $DR\%=49.3\%$ ).

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1 *Validation of the vegetable typologies and the experimental sample sets*

In order to study the role of sensory and hedonic dimensions in the process of categorization, samples of pea and sweetcorn were selected in order to cover as much sensory space as possible of both vegetable



typologies. The DA validated the sensory variability of the experimental sample sets, where the selected samples of pea and sweetcorn varied significantly on the quality and intensity of several descriptors relevant to different sensory modalities.

Moreover pea and sweetcorn samples were chosen in order to study the effect of familiarity on the process of categorization. Peas were chosen due to their long presence in European food culture, while sweetcorn was characterized by a recently introduction to the continent. Our results confirm a high familiarity with peas in each country and age group considered in the study. Conversely, in the case of sweetcorn, each country and age group showed poor familiarity, most notable in the Italian older adults. Thus the results confirm the higher familiarity of pea compared to sweetcorn and a comparable familiarity toward the vegetable typologies between the two countries, with the only exception being the older segment involved in the sweetcorn evaluation. Moreover, considering the different age groups irrespective of country, familiarity towards peas was constant with age, and a similar trend was found for sweetcorn, excepting in the Very old subjects.

#### 4.2 The performance of the free sorting task among countries and age groups

The differences in familiarity toward the tested vegetables affected the FST categorization maps in both countries. In the case of the familiar product, the configuration and grouping of samples from FST was comparable between the countries. Conversely, in the case of the unfamiliar product, the similarity between the categorization maps was clearly lower than in the previous case, indicating the use of different criteria in the categorization of samples. In order to study how the process of categorization may change during ageing we merged French and Italian subjects considering that the familiarity toward pea and sweetcorn products was generally comparable between countries inside each age group.

Considering the familiar vegetable, ageing weakly affected the categorization criteria as indicated by the high level of similarity between the categorization maps among the different age groups. Moreover the categorization maps showed a high level of reliability in all age groups, suggesting that categorization performance remains high during ageing. Furthermore, the high level of similarity between the categorization maps from the Adult reference group and each elderly group suggests that is possible to infer the categorization criteria of a healthy elderly population even using adult subjects when a comparable level of familiarity is shared.

In the case of the unfamiliar vegetable the map obtained from FST significantly changed across age groups, thus indicating that the criteria used in the classification of samples varied during ageing, possibly because of the lower familiarity with the product. Despite the different spatial configurations, the reliability of the maps was high and comparable in each age group, confirming good performances in the categorization task. Therefore also using an unfamiliar vegetable, the FST remains a suitable method for use among healthy older adults. However, the low level of similarity between the categorization map from Adults and the categorization maps from each elderly group indicates that reliable information on categorization criteria can be inferred only by considering the age group of interest. Overall the results suggest that FST allowed the detection of differences in sample categorization in the different age groups of the elderly population and the different countries, and so is applicable for older adults. The present research therefore corroborates the good applicability of sorting methodology with healthy older adults as reported by Withers *et al.* (2014).

#### 4.3 The role of sensory and hedonic dimensions in the categorization of vegetables

387 The study showed that the sensory dimension is the main driver of categorization in the case of the  
388 familiar product. In fact the categorization maps depict the same similarities and differences among  
389 vegetable samples described by the trained panel with DA, irrespective of the country and the age group.  
390 The ability of the FST to generate maps comparable with the sensory maps from DA was already reported  
391 in adult subjects (Faye *et al.*, 2004; Saint-Eve *et al.*, 2004) and in the present study this was confirmed  
392 also in the elderly population in the case of a high familiar product. Considering the unfamiliar vegetable,  
393 the comparison between the categorization maps and the sensory maps highlighted a gradual decrease in  
394 similarity with age, thus indicating a reduction in the influence of the sensory dimension in the process of  
395 categorization. However this tendency may also mean that the categorization of sweetcorn samples does  
396 not reflect differences and similarities in sensory descriptors as perceived by the trained assessors in DA,  
397 an aspect that in an elderly respondent may be due to an impaired perception (Schubert *et al.*, 2012) or  
398 may be due to the salience of different sensory attributes, such as mouthfeel characteristics (Forde &  
399 Delahunty, 2004).

400 The other potential driver of categorization investigated in the study was the hedonic dimension. The  
401 categorization of the familiar product was more influenced by the sensory dimension than the hedonic  
402 one, an aspect already reported in research on foods categorization with adults (Ballester *et al.*, 2008;  
403 Chollet & Valentin, 2000). However the hedonic pattern of the samples still partially superimposes the  
404 configurations resulting from the FST in each age group, suggesting that is possible to obtain an  
405 indication of the general liking using categorization maps. In the case of the unfamiliar product, a  
406 reduction in similarity between the categorization map and the preference map was detected from Adults  
407 to Young old to Very old subjects. In this case, the tendency seems to be due to an issue related to the  
408 performance of the methodology as the reliability index of the preference maps decreases with age,  
409 reaching a low level in particular among Very old subjects.

410

#### 411 4.4 Sensory-cognitive interaction in flavour building

412 It is noteworthy to consider how in the case of the familiar product the drivers of sample categorization  
413 are shared among Adults and the older age groups, while in the case of the unfamiliar product they  
414 change during ageing. The differences in the categorization of the familiar and unfamiliar vegetable may  
415 be due to the use of different processes in products representation. In fact the categorization can be the  
416 results of two distinct cognitive paths, namely similarity-based processes (Juslin *et al.*, 2003) and rule-  
417 based processes (Ashby *et al.*, 1998). Similarity-based processes rely on exemplar retrieval from  
418 memory, where objects are categorized on the basis of their similarity to already known exemplars. On  
419 the other hand, rule-based processes are based on the integration of cues (i.e., the characteristics of the  
420 objects). Research reports that in categorization tasks, adult subjects tend to rely on similarity-based  
421 processes (von Helversen *et al.*, 2010) due to the lower cognitive demand in respect to the rule-based  
422 processes. It is possible to hypothesize that consumers may use similarity-based processes when a  
423 familiar product is evaluated, with the effect of building the perception of a product on the base of  
424 perceptive elements that subjects learned to associate with specific sensory exemplars. An empirical  
425 example of this process is provided by Morot *et al.* (2001), where the red coloration of a white wine led  
426 the assessor to elicit smell attributes characteristic of red wines, therefore demonstrating the use of top-  
427 down cognitive processes in the building of wine flavour. On the other hand, in the evaluation of an  
428 unfamiliar product the absence of previous knowledge may push subjects to use rule-based processes,  
429 based on surface properties that are more related to the actual sensory properties of a food. These

assumptions therefore suggest that among older adults the lack of previous experience with the unfamiliar product led to the building of perceptions mainly using surface sensory properties, that may change during the ageing due to possible sensory impairments. In the case of the familiar product the perceptive information was combined with cognitive information from previous experience, thus compensating the eventual perceptive losses that may occur in this population segment.

## 5. Conclusions

In the context of better understanding the perception of healthy foods among different age segments of older adults, this research aimed to explore the performance of free sorting task methodology and the drivers of categorization among healthy older adults of two European countries, France and Italy.

The results confirm that the free sorting task is a suitable and reliable method to use with healthy older adults, that is able to detect differences in the categorization of stimuli even among the more aged representatives of this segment of the population. Age influences familiarity toward the tested product, and familiarity was the main factor that affected categorization maps and the information that can be extracted from them. Categorization maps from a familiar product can be potentially used to obtain reliable information of sensory and hedonic dimensions, while maps obtained from an unfamiliar product depict mainly the sensory variability. This suggests that when older adults are encouraged to elicit sensory and hedonic terms to describe the formed groups of a familiar product it may be possible to obtain an indication of the sensory properties of the samples and the general direction of liking. Moreover the study highlighted that among healthy older adults, familiarity toward a food may play a role in flavour building, where in the case of a familiar product the cognitive information from previous experiences of consumption seems to compensate for the sensory loss that older adults may experience.

## 6. Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results received funding from the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement n° [612326] "VeggiEAT – *To critically evaluate acceptability through individual and environmental characteristics across the lifespan in institutional food service*".

## 7. References

- Abdi, H., Valentin, D., Chollet, S., & Chrea, C. (2007). Analyzing assessors and products in sorting task: DISTATIS, theory and applications. *Food Quality and Preference*, 18, 1-16.
- Appleton, K. M., Hemingway, A., Saulais, L., Dinnella, C., Monteleone, E., Depezay, L., Morizet, D., Perez-Cueto, F. J. A., Bevan, A., & Hartwell, H. (2016). Increasing vegetable intakes: rationale and systematic review of published interventions. *European Journal of Nutrition*, 55(3), 869-896.
- Appleton, K. M. (2016). Barriers to and facilitators of the consumption of animal-based protein-rich foods in older adults. *Nutrients*, 8, 187.
- Ashby, F. G., Alfonso-Reese, L. A., Turken, A. U., & Waldron, E. M. (1998). A neuropsychological theory

473 of multiple systems in category learning. *Psychological Review*, 105, 442–481.

474

475 Bäckström, A., Pirttilä-Backman, A.M., & Tuorila, H. (2004). Willingness to try new foods as predicted by

476 social representations and attitude and trait scales. *Appetite*, 43(1), 75–83.

477

478 Ballester, J., Patris, B., Symoneaux, R., & Valentin, D. (2008). Conceptual vs perceptual wine spaces:

479 does expertise matter? *Food Quality and Preference*, 19, 267–276.

480

481 Barylko-Pikielna, N., Matuszewska, I., Jeruszka, M., Kozłowska, K., Brzozowska, A., & Roszkowski, W.

482 (2004). Discriminability and appropriateness of category scaling versus ranking methods to study sensory

483 preferences in elderly. *Food Quality and Preference*, 15(2), 167–175.

484

485 Beaton, D., Fatt, C. C., & Abdi, H. (2013). DistatisR: DiSTATIS Three Way Metric Multidimensional Scaling.

486 R package version 1.0. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DistatisR>

487

488 Blancher, G., Chollet, S., Kesteloot, R., Nguyen Hoang, D., Cuvelier, G., & Sieffermann, J. M. (2007).

489 French and Vietnamese: how do they describe texture characteristics of the same food? A case study with

490 jellies. *Food Quality and Preference*, 18(3), 560–575.

491

492 Bucher, T., Collins, C., Diem, S., & Siegrist, M. (2016). Adolescents' perception of the healthiness of

493 snack. *Food Quality and Preference*, 50, 94–101.

494

495 Cartier, R., Rytz, A., Lecomte, A., Poblete, F., Krystlik, J., Belin, E., & Martin, N. (2006). Sorting

496 procedure as an alternative to quantitative descriptive analysis to obtain a product sensory map. *Food*

497 *Quality and Preference*, 17, 562–571.

498

499 Chollet, S., & Valentin, D. (2000). Le degré d'expertise a-t-il une influence sur la perception olfactive?

500 Quelques éléments de réponse dans le domaine du vin. *L'Année Psychologique*, 100, 11–36.

501

502 Chrea, C., Valentin, D., Sulmont-Rossé, C., Ly Mai, H., Hoang Nguyen, D., & Abdi, H. (2004). Culture and

503 odor categorization: agreement between cultures depends upon the odors. *Food Quality and Preference*,

504 15, 669–679.

505

506 Cruz-Jentoft, A. J., Baeyens, J. P., Bauer, J. M., Boirie, Y., Cederholm, T., Landi, F., et al. (2010).

507 Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European Working Group on

508 Sarcopenia in Older People. *Age and Ageing*, 39(4), 412–423.

509

510 Deegan, C., Koivisto, L., Näkkilä, J., Hyvönen, L., & Tuorila, H. (2010). Application of a sorting procedure

511 to greenhouse-grown cucumbers and tomatoes. *LWD – Food Science and Technology*, 43, 393–400.

512

513 Dermiki, M., Mounayar, R., Suwankanit, C., Scott, J., Kennedy, O. B., Mottram, D. S., Gosney, M. A.,

514 Blumenthal, H., & Methven, L. (2013). Maximizing umami taste in meat using natural ingredients: effects

515 on chemistry, sensory perception and hedonic liking in young and old consumers. *Journal of the Science*

516 *of Food and Agriculture*, 93(13), 3312–3321.

517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559

Dermiki, M., Willway, J., Sargent, L., Kidman, J., Anderson, C., Kennedy, O. B., & Gosney, M. A., & Methven, L. (2014). Preference and consumption of a taste enhanced meat meal by older hospital patients: a pilot study. *Nutrition and Aging*, 2(1), 69-75.

Dinnella, C., Morizet, D., Masi, C., Clicerì, D., Depezay, L., Appleton, K. M., Giboreau, A., Perez-Cueto, F. J. A., Hartwell, H., & Monteleone, E. (2016). Sensory determinants of stated liking for vegetable names and actual liking for canned vegetables: a cross-country study among European adolescents. *Appetite* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2016.08.110

Faye, P., Brémaud, D., Durand Daubin, M., Courcoux, P., Giboreau, A., & Nicod, H. (2004). Perceptive free sorting and verbalization tasks with naïve subjects: an alternative to descriptive mappings. *Food Quality and Preference*, 15, 781-791.

Forde, C. G., & Delahunty, C. M. (2004). Understanding the role cross-modal sensory interactions play in food acceptability in younger and older consumers. *Food Quality and Preference*, 15, 715-727.

Forman, D. E., Berman, A. D., McCabe, C. H., Baim, D. S., & Wei, J. Y. (1992). PTCA in the elderly: the "young-old" versus the "old-old". *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 40(1), 19-22.

GBD 2013 DALYs and HALE Collaborators (2015). Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 306 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 188 countries, 1990–2013: quantifying the epidemiological transition. *Lancet*, 386, 2145-2191.

Giacalone, D., Wendin, K., Kremer, S., Frøst, M. B., Bredie, W. L. P., Olsson, V., Otto, M. H., Skjoldborg, S., Lindberg, U., & Risvik, E. (2016). Health and quality of life in an aging population – food and beyond. *Food Quality and Preference*, 47, 166-170.

Hickson, M. (2006). Malnutrition and ageing. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 82, 2-8.

Juslin, P., Olsson, H., & Olsson, A. C. (2003). Exemplar effects in categorization and multiple-cue judgment. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 132, 133–156.

Kapica, C., & Weiss, W. (2012). Canned fruits, vegetables, beans and fish provide nutrients at a lower cost compared to fresh, frozen or dried. Conference: Experimental Biology Meeting Location: San Diego, CA APR 21-25, 2012; *FASEB Journal*, 26.

Lawless, H. T., & Heymann, H. (2010). *Sensory evaluation of food: principles and practices*. Springer Science & Business Media.

Lawless, H.T., Sheng, T., & Knoop, S. (1995). Multidimensional scaling of sorting data applied to cheese perception. *Food Quality and Preference*, 6, 91–98.

560 Le, S., Josse, J., & Husson, F. (2008). FactoMineR: An R Package for Multivariate Analysis. *Journal of*  
561 *Statistical Software*, 25(1), 1-18.

562

563 Lee, S. M., Kim, S., Guinard, J., & Kim, K. (2016). Exploration of flavor familiarity effect in Korean and  
564 US consumers' hot sauces perceptions. *Food Science and Biotechnology*, 25(3), 745-746.

565

566 Lelièvre, M., Chollet, S., Abdi, H., & Valentin, D. (2009). Beer-trained and untrained assessors rely more  
567 on vision than on taste when they categorize beers. *Chemosensory Perception*, 2, 143-153.

568

569 Methven, L., Jiménez-Pranteda, M. L., & Lawlor, J. B. (2016). Sensory and consumer science methods  
570 used with older adults: a review of current methods and recommendations for the future. *Food Quality*  
571 *and Preference*, 48, 333-344.

572

573 Mingioni, M., Mehinagic, E., Laguna, L., Sarkar, A., Pirttijärvi, T., Van Wymelbeke, V., Artigas, G., Chen,  
574 J., Kautola, H., Järvenpää, E., Mäenpää, T., Tahvonen, R., Grabska-Kobylecka, I., & Maitre, I. (2016).  
575 Fruit and vegetables liking among European elderly according to food preferences, attitudes towards food  
576 and dependency. *Food Quality and Preference*, 50, 27-37.

577

578 Morizet, D., Depeyaz, L., Combris, P., Picard, D., & Giboreau, A. (2012). Effect of labeling on new  
579 vegetable dish acceptance in preadolescent children. *Appetite*, 59, 399-402.

580

581 Morot, G., Brochet, F., & Dubourdieu, D. (2001). The color of odors. *Brain and Language*, 79, 309-320.

582

583 Næs, T., Brockhoff, P. B., & Tomic, O. (2010). *Statistics for sensory and consumer science*. Chichester.  
584 John Wiley & Sons. Ltd.

585

586 Nestrud, M. A., & Lawless, H. T. (2010). Perceptual mapping of apples and cheeses using projective  
587 mapping and sorting. *Journal of Sensory Studies*, 25(3), 390-405.

588

589 Nyberg, M., Olsson, V., Pajalic, Z., Örtman, G., Håkan, S. A., Blücher, A., Wendin, K., & Westergren, A.  
590 (2015). Eating difficulties, nutrition, meal preferences and experiences among elderly. A literature  
591 overview from a Scandinavian context. *Journal of Food Research*, 4(1), 22-37.

592

593 Pelt, J.M. (1993). In: Des legumes. Editions FAYARD

594

595 R Core Team (2015). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for  
596 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <http://www.R-project.org/>

597

598 Robert, P., & Escoufier, Y. (1976). A unifying tool for linear multivariate statistical methods: The RV-  
599 coefficient. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics)*, 25, 257-265.

600

601 Robine, J., & Camboise, E. (2013). Healthy life expectancy in Europe. *Population & Societies - Monthly*  
602 *bulletin of the French National Institute for Demographic Studies*, 499.

603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645

Rosch, E., & Lloyd, B. B. (1978). *Cognition and categorization*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Roubenoff, R. (2000). Sarcopenia and its implications for the elderly. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 54(3), 40–47.

Schubert, C. R., Cruickshanks, K. J., Fischer, M. E., Huang, G. H., Klein, B. E., Klein, R., Pankow, J. S., & Nondahl, D. M. (2012). Olfactory impairment in an adult population: the beaver dam offspring study. *Chemical Senses*, 37, 325-334.

Saint-Eve, A., Paçi Kora, E., & Martin, N. (2004). Impact of the olfactory quality and chemical complexity of the flavouring agent on the texture of low fat stirred yogurts assessed by three different sensory methodologies. *Food Quality and Preference*, 15, 655–668.

Solomon, G. E. A. (1997). Conceptual change and wine expertise. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 6(1), 41-60.

Santosa, M., Abdi, H., & Guinard, J. (2010). A modified sorting task to investigate consumer perceptions of extra virgin olive oils. *Food Quality and Preference*, 21(7), 881-892.

Song, X., Giacalone, D., Bølling Johansen, S. M., Frøst, M. B., & Bredie, W. L. P. (2016). Changes in orosensory perception related to aging and strategies for counteracting its influence on food preferences among older adults. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 53, 49-59.

Tomic, O., Berget, I., & Næs, T. (2015). A comparison of generalised procrustes analysis and multiple factor analysis for projective mapping data. *Food Quality and Preference*, 43, 34-46.

Torri, L., Dinnella, C., Recchia, A., Næs, T., Tuorila, H., & Monteleone, E. (2013). Projective mapping for interpreting wine aroma differences as perceived by naïve and experienced assessors. *Food Quality and Preference*, 29, 6-15.

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2015). *World Population Ageing 2015* (ST/ESA/SER.A/390).

Varela, P., & Salvador, A. (2014). Structured sorting using pictures as a way to study nutritional and hedonic perception in children. *Food Quality and Preference*, 37, 27–34.

Vidal, L., Cadena, R. S., Correa, S., Ábalos, R. A., Gómez, B., Giménez, A., Varela, P., & Ares, G. (2014). Assessment of global and individual reproducibility of projective mapping with consumers. *Journal of Sensory Studies*, 29, 74-87.

von Helversen, B., Mata, R., & Olsson, H. (2010). Do children profit from looking beyond looks? From similarity-based to cue-abstraction processes in multiple-cue judgment. *Developmental Psychology*, 46,

646 220-229.  
647  
648 Withers, C., Methven, L., Qannari, E. M., Allen, V. J., Gosney, M. A., & Macfie, H. J. H. (2014). Taxonomic  
649 free sorting: a successful method with older consumers and a novel approach to preference mapping.  
650 *Journal of Sensory Studies*, 29, 182-189.  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688



Figure captions

**Figure 1 (a-b).** Sensory maps: Score plot (a) and correlation loading plot (b) from PCA on panel averages of each significant attribute ( $p < 0.05$ ) describing the sensory properties of pea samples. In the correlation loading plot outer and inner circles on the map represent 100% and 50% explained variance respectively.

**Figure 2 (a-b).** Sensory maps: Score plot (a) and correlation loading plot (b) from PCA on panel averages of each significant attribute ( $p < 0.05$ ) describing the sensory properties of sweetcorn samples. In the correlation loading plot outer and inner circles on the map represent 100% and 50% explained variance respectively.

**Figure 3.** Categorization maps: Compromise map from DISTATIS for pea (left) and sweet corn (right) samples obtained from the free sorting task with French and Italian older adults. The ellipsoids correspond to the clusters identified with hierarchical cluster analysis.

**Figure 4.** Preference maps: Score plot from PCA for pea (left) and sweet corn (right) samples obtained from the liking task with French and Italian older adults.

**Figure 5.** Categorization maps: Compromise map from DISTATIS for pea (left) and sweet corn (right) samples obtained from the free sorting task with Adults, Young old, Middle old and Very old segments. The ellipsoids correspond to the clusters identified with hierarchical cluster analysis.

**Figure 6.** Preference maps: Score plot from PCA for pea (left) and sweet corn (right) samples obtained from the liking task with Adults, Young old, Middle old and Very old segments..

**Figure 7 (a-b).** RV coefficient values between samples configurations in the first two dimensions of categorization, preference and sensory maps as a function of the age segments and pea (a) and sweetcorn (b) typologies. FST A indicates categorization maps from Adults.

**Figure 8 (a-b).** Ratio of distances (%) values for the two replicated samples in the first two dimensions of the categorization and preference maps as a function of the age segments and pea (a) and sweetcorn (b) typologies.

732 **Table 1.** Characteristics of the elderly respondents per product: country, demographics and total number  
733 per age group and country. Values in brackets represent standard deviations.

	<i>Peas</i>					<i>Sweet corn</i>				
	Country		Total	Females	Mean age	Country		Total	Females	Mean age
	France	Italy				France	Italy			
Young old	78	42	120	65.8%	65.7(2.0)	41	41	82	68.3%	65.9(1.9)
Medium old	18	29	47	65.9%	72.8(2.9)	38	28	66	81.8%	73.6(3.0)
Very old	2	25	27	77.7%	85.0(3.5)	19	29	48	87.5%	84.1(3.6)
Total	98	96	194	67.5%	74.5(2.8)	98	98	198	76.7%	74.5(2.9)
Females	69.3%	65.6%				79.5%	75.5%			
Mean age	67.7(3.2)	72.6(8.9)				72.6(6.8)	74.6(8.4)			

767 **Table 2.** Distribution of familiar (Fs) and unfamiliar (UFs) subjects testing pea and sweetcorn products as  
768 a function of country and age group: occurrences and p values.

		<i>France</i>			<i>Italy</i>		
		Pea	Sweetcorn	<i>p</i>	Pea	Sweetcorn	<i>p</i>
All subjects							
	<i>UFs</i>	1	26	<0.001	4	51	<0.001
	<i>Fs</i>	97	72		92	47	
Young old							
	<i>UFs</i>	1	8	<0.001	1	16	<0.001
	<i>Fs</i>	77	33		41	25	
Medium old							
	<i>UFs</i>	0	11	0.011	2	14	<0.001
	<i>Fs</i>	18	27		27	14	
Very old							
	<i>UFs</i>	0	7	0.533	1	21	<0.001
	<i>Fs</i>	2	12		24	8	

**Table 3.** Distribution of familiar (Fs) and unfamiliar (UFs) subjects between countries as a function of vegetable product and age group: occurrences and p values.

		<i>Pea</i>			<i>Sweetcorn</i>		
		France	Italy	<i>p</i>	France	Italy	<i>p</i>
All subjects							
	<i>UFs</i>	1	4	0.209	26	51	<0.001
	<i>Fs</i>	97	92		72	47	
Young old							
	<i>UFs</i>	1	1	1.000	8	16	0.088
	<i>Fs</i>	77	41		33	25	
Medium old							
	<i>UFs</i>	0	2	0.517	11	14	0.123
	<i>Fs</i>	18	27		27	14	
Very old							
	<i>UFs</i>	0	1	1.000	7	21	0.019
	<i>Fs</i>	2	24		12	8	

834 **Table 4.** RV coefficient values between samples configurations in the first two dimensions of  
 835 categorization, preference and sensory maps as a function of the country and vegetable products.

	<i>Pea</i>					<i>Sweetcorn</i>				
	FST Italy	FST France	IPM Italy	IPM France	DA	FST Italy	FST France	IPM Italy	IPM France	DA
FST Italy	1					1				
FST France	0.95***	1				0.54*	1			
IPM Italy	0.78***	0.72***	1			0.52*	0.52*	1		
IPM France	0.80***	0.75***	0.89***	1		0.61**	0.50*	0.61**	1	
DA	0.86***	0.88***	0.80***	0.88***	1	0.57*	0.75**	0.65**	0.71**	1

\* = p<0.05 \*\* = p<0.01 \*\*\* = p<0.001

















